



**Environment
Northeast**

142 High Street, Suite 422
Portland, ME 04101
(207) 761-4566
www.env-ne.org

September 17, 2008

Rockport, ME
Boston, MA
Providence, RI
Hartford, CT
Charlottetown, PEI

ELECTRONICALLY FILED ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2008

Karen Geraghty
Administrative Director
Public Utilities Commission
18 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0018

Re: CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY AND PUBLIC SERVICE
COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, Request for Certificate of Public
Convenience and Necessity for the Maine Power Reliability Program
Consisting of the Construction of Approximately 350 Miles of 345 kV
Transmission Lines ("MPRP")

MPUC Docket 2008-255

THIS IS A VIRTUAL DUPLICATE OF THE ORIGINAL HARDCOPY
SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH
ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.

Dear Ms. Geraghty:

Enclosed please find the Data Requests of Environment Northeast in the above-referenced docket. The enclosed has been electronically filed on today's date.

Sincerely,

Michael D. Stoddard
Deputy Director, Attorney

Enclosure
cc: Service List

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2008-255

September 16, 2008

MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
CMP and PSNH Request for Certification
of Public Convenience and Necessity for
the Maine Power Reliability Program
Consisting of the Construction of
Approximately 350 Miles of 345 kV and
115 kV Transmission Lines ("MPRP")

FIRST SET OF DATA
REQUESTS OF ENE (ENVIRONMENT
NORTHEAST)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2008

THIS IS A VIRTUAL DUPLICATE OF THE ORIGINAL HARDCOPY
SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH
ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS

Data Requests

Vol. IX, Exhibit I-3 and Appendix A.

ENE-01-01 Ref.: Exhibit I-3, p. 25 of 464 and p. 165-166 of 464

- a. Please list all studies or integrated resource plans that estimate or project Maximum Achievable Cost Effective energy efficiency potential (MACE) that GDS Associates (GDS) has participated in since 2004, and indicate any significant ways in which the methodology used in the MPRP study differs from prior GDS studies.
- b. What publicly available studies or integrated resource plans is GDS aware of that estimate, project or compare MACE in other states or utility territories in New England? Did GDS consider these studies in making its analysis for MPRP? Please indicate any significant ways in which the methodology used in the MPRP study differs from these other estimates of MACE.
- c. Please represent your projected MACE funding budgets and energy savings (see Table 1-6 at p. 166 and Table 4-5 at p. 318 of 464) in terms of common metrics used for comparison, including but not limited to \$/sales, \$/capita and MACE as a percent of total sales, and compare these to findings in other recent studies or integrated resource plans listed in (b), above.

- d. Please indicate the primary ways in which Maine's load and projected load growth is significantly different from loads in other New England states.

ENE -01-02 Ref.: Exhibit I-3, p. 163-165 of 464 -- Impact of the Efficiency Maine Program

- a. Please indicate what funding level was assumed for Efficiency Maine saving projections referenced at this page and also in Tables 1-3 and 1-4 to capture between 69%-79% of the MACE potential.
- b. Please clarify whether the "more than \$20 million per year" for efficiency programming refers to direct program funds only, or includes all overhead or indirect costs of the program administrator (e.g., Efficiency Maine) as well.

ENE-01-03 Ref. Exh I-3, p. 194 of 464 – Table 4-2

- a. Please confirm that the per unit cost of the Business Program, Implementation & Tech Assistance is not a typo. If this data is in error, please identify any other data in the GDS report that is effected.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Stoddard". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "M".

Michael Stoddard
Attorney